

## **Original Publication**

Submission of a manuscript will be understood to mean that the manuscript contains original unpublished work and is not being submitted for publication elsewhere at the same time. The author must supply a full statement upon submission of the work about any and all submissions and previous reports that might be regarded as duplicate publication of the same or very similar work.

## **Conflicts of Interest**

Authors are responsible for disclosing all financial and personal relationships between themselves, their families, and others that might be perceived by readers as biasing their work. To prevent ambiguity, authors must state explicitly whether potential conflicts do or do not exist.

## **Ethics**

*Clinical Psychology: Science and Practice (CPSP)* publishes scholarly reviews as well as meta-analyses related to assessment, intervention, and service delivery. Given that CPSP only rarely publishes empirical studies, when submitting such a study, the following ethical guidelines must be followed. When reporting experiments on human participants, indicate whether the procedures followed were in accordance with the ethical standards of the responsible committee on human experimentation (institutional or regional) and with the Helsinki Declaration of 1975, as revised in 1983. Authors should not use participants' names, initials or any other identifying numbers (such as social security numbers), especially in illustrative material. When reporting experiments on animals, indicate whether the authors followed their institution's guidelines or a national research council's guidelines for, or any national law on, the care and use of laboratory animals. A statement describing explicitly the ethical background to the studies being reported should be included in all manuscripts in the Methods section. Ethics committee or institutional review board approval should be stated in that section. The manuscript must adhere to all guidelines stated in the current version of the American Psychological Association's Code of Ethics. A link to this code can be found here <http://www.apa.org/ethics/code/>

If reporting on empirical data involving human participants, these individuals have a right to privacy that should not be infringed without informed consent. No identifying information should be included in written descriptions, photographs and pedigrees unless the information is essential for scientific purposes and the individual (or parent or guardian) gives written informed consent for publication. Identifying details must be omitted if they are not essential but essential empirical data should never be altered or falsified in an attempt to attain anonymity. Complete anonymity is difficult to achieve and informed consent should be obtained if there is any doubt. Empirical manuscripts must be accompanied by a statement that the research was undertaken with the understanding and written consent of each participant (or the participant's representative, if they lack capacity).

Articles that draw upon previously-published empirical literature (meta-analyses) do not need to have undergone review by the responsible committee on human experimentation (institutional or regional). In these instances, authors are expected to include a complete listing of all empirical studies that were included in the analyses, including citation, relevant details of the methodology, and a brief synopsis of the findings that are included in the review. In cases where space constraints are of concern, this information may be included as an on-line supplemental appendix.

Clinical trials should be reported using the CONSORT guidelines available at [www.consort-statement.org](http://www.consort-statement.org). A CONSORT checklist should also be included in the submission material ([http://www.consort-statement.org/mod\\_product/uploads/CONSORT 2001 checklist.doc](http://www.consort-statement.org/mod_product/uploads/CONSORT_2001_checklist.doc)).

**CPSP** encourages authors submitting manuscripts that report results of a clinical trial to register the trials in any of the following free, public clinical trials registries: [www.clinicaltrials.gov](http://www.clinicaltrials.gov), [www.isrctn.org](http://www.isrctn.org). The clinical trial registration number and name of the trial register will then be published with the paper.

### **Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE)**

As a member of the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE), adherence to these submission criteria is considered essential for publication in **CPSP**; mandatory fields are included in the online submission process to ensure this. If, at a later stage in the submission process or even after publication, a manuscript or authors are found to have disregarded these criteria, it is the duty of the Editor-in-Chief to report this to COPE. COPE may recommend that action be taken, including but not exclusive to, informing the authors' professional regulatory oversight body and/or institution of such a dereliction.

The website for COPE may be accessed at: <http://publicationethics.org>

### **Authorship**

All individuals designated as authors should qualify for authorship and all those who qualify should be listed. Each author should have participated sufficiently in the work to take public responsibility for appropriate portions of the content. One or more authors should take responsibility for the integrity of the work as a whole, from inception to published article. Authorship credit should be based only on 1) substantial contributions to conception and design (in the case of empirical data, acquisition of data, or analysis and interpretation of data); 2) drafting the article or revising it critically for important intellectual content; 3) final approval of the version to be published. Conditions 1, 2 and 3 must all be met. Acquisition of funding, the collection of data or general supervision of the research group, by themselves, do not justify authorship. All others who contributed to the work who are not authors should be named in the Acknowledgements section.

### **Changes of Authorship**

This policy concerns the addition, deletion, or rearrangement of author names in the authorship of accepted manuscripts.

Before the accepted manuscript is published online: requests to add or remove an author, or to rearrange the author names, must be sent to the Editor in Chief by the corresponding author of the accepted manuscript and must include: (a) the reason the name should be added or removed, or the author names rearranged and (b) written confirmation (e-mail, fax, letter) from all authors that they agree with the addition, removal or rearrangement. In the case of addition or removal of authors, this includes confirmation from the author being added or removed. Requests that are not sent by the corresponding author will be forwarded by the Editor in Chief to the corresponding author, who must follow the procedure as described above. Note that in cases where changes in authorship are not agreed upon by all parties, publication of the accepted manuscript online will be suspended until authorship has been agreed.

After the accepted manuscript is published online, any requests to add, delete, or rearrange author names in an article published in an online issue will follow the same policies as noted above and result in a corrigendum.